

EPA Official Record

Notes ID: 6147E8052CA99ADF85257869005490BA

From: Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US

To: "Schroeder, Paul R ERDC-EL-MS" <Paul.R.Schroeder@usace.army.mil>

Copy To: "Hayter, Earl ERDC-CHL-MS" <Earl.Hayter@usace.army.mil>; "Anderson, Mark J Jr NAE" <Mark.J.Anderson.Jr@usace.army.mil>; "Iorio, Maryellen NAE" <Maryellen.Iorio@usace.army.mil>; "Fredette, Thomas ERD" <Thomas.J.Fredette@usace.army.mil>

Delivered Date: 05/18/2010 11:08 AM EDT

Subject: RE: final comments on the NBH CAD cell model report

Paul - I think we're almost done! My (hopefully) final comments are attached. Thanks again to everyone who contributed.

Dave



lhcc.ercd.model.5.18.10.doc

▼ "Schroeder, Paul R ERDC-EL-MS" ---05/15/2010 11:55:44 PM---Dave, I addressed all of your comments, except the mentioning of activated carbon

From: "Schroeder, Paul R ERDC-EL-MS" <Paul.R.Schroeder@usace.army.mil>

To: Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US@EPA, "Fredette, Thomas ERD" <Thomas.J.Fredette@usace.army.mil>, "Hayter, Earl ERDC-CHL-MS" <Earl.Hayter@usace.army.mil>, "Anderson, Mark J Jr NAE" <Mark.J.Anderson.Jr@usace.army.mil>, "Iorio, Maryellen NAE" <Maryellen.Iorio@usace.army.mil>

Date: 05/15/2010 11:55 PM

Subject: RE: final comments on the NBH CAD cell model report

Dave,

I addressed all of your comments, except the mentioning of activated carbon which I understand that you did not want a control section added. Do you want a statement added to the conclusion #9 that the losses between dredging seasons could be reduced by broadcasting activated carbon? I also added a conclusion regarding acceleration of the placement schedule.

Please check out the changes and then I will finalize the document.

Paul

-----Original Message-----

From: dickerson.dave@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:dickerson.dave@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, May 07, 2010 10:24 AM
To: Schroeder, Paul R ERDC-EL-MS; Fredette, Thomas ERD; Hayter, Earl
ERDC-CHL-MS; Anderson, Mark J Jr NAE; Iorio, Maryellen NAE
Subject: final comments on the NBH CAD cell model report

Hello - thanks to all involved with this effort. Looks to me like we have a very good product. My relatively few and minor comments are attached in blue text.

My one over-arching comment (repeated in the attachment) is this: the modeling assumes placement over three years, yet our cost estimates are now assuming only one or two years (depending on funding) to fill the cell. Can the report add a short discussion about but what the likely impact would be (i.e., higher or lower losses) if the cell is filled over a shorter time frame - i.e., one or two years?

Thanks again - Dave

p.s. - I'll look over the tables and figures early next week and get any comments on those to you as well)

(See attached file: lhcc.erdcl.model.rep.finalreview.doc)
[attachment "Lower Harbor CAD Report May 2010prs.doc" deleted by Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US]